

YOUR AI ACT COMPLIANCE SNAPSHOT

DraftPilot

Based on the information supplied, RRCS identified your likely AI Act role, preliminary risk route and current compliance readiness.

ASSESSMENT DATE	REPORT ID
August 9, 2026 8:18 pm	e41d1d9a5d4f2e39da
EU AI ACT SCOPE	CONFIDENCE
Likely in scope	High



R · RISK

Transparency review

Email drafting and meeting-note summarisation do not indicate an Annex III or Annex I high-risk route.

Article 50
Transparency obligations

R · ROLE

Likely deployer

The organisation uses a third-party AI system internally and did not build or modify it.

Article 3(4) Definition

Article 26 Deployer obligations

CS · COMPLIANCE SCORE

100 / 100

The Compliance Score is calculated by AAMS, not by the AI, and only against the safeguards relevant to your use, role and risk route. Requirements that apply from a later date are listed separately and do not reduce it.

HOW THE SCORE WAS REACHED

Safeguards counted, and their weight

Only the safeguards relevant to your role and risk route are counted. Each one is weighted, so the same answers always produce the same number.

SAFEGUARD	REPORTED STATE	WEIGHT	COUNTED
AI literacy Article 4 AI literacy	In place	0.80	0.80
Responsibility and escalation Article 26 Deployer obligations	In place	1.30	1.30
Transparency and disclosure Article 50 Transparency obligations	In place	1.00	1.00
Weighted total		3.10	3.10

3.10 of 3.10 weighted points = 100 / 100

Strong position. Have a qualified professional confirm this result, then keep AI literacy and continuous compliance running as your obligations widen.

hello@aiactmadesimple.eu

Needs a deeper check: some EU countries have implemented their own compliance legislation in addition to the EU AI Act.

CURRENT GAPS

Relevant today and incomplete

Confirm AI notices

Confirm when users interact with or receive content generated by the assistant and provide any required notice.

Article 50 Transparency obligations

Complete the internal review

The risk review is only partly complete; record the intended use, users and safeguards.

Article 9 Risk management system

Complete basic records

Documentation is only partly complete, so retain a short description of the system and its approved uses.

Article 11 Technical documentation

Annex IV Contents

EXECUTIVE SNAPSHOT

Your current position

This appears to be ordinary internal use of a third-party AI assistant, with no clear high-risk or prohibited-practice signal. Confirm transparency notices and complete the partly finished governance records.

PROFESSIONAL VALIDATION

Matters raised by this assessment

These topics are selected from the information available in this snapshot. They are not a checklist, legal advice or implementation instructions.

01

Confirm transparency notices: Check whether staff are informed when they interact with the assistant or receive AI-generated text.

02

Finish the risk review: Record the intended use, limitations and responsible person.

03

Complete basic documentation: Keep a concise record of the tool, approved uses and relevant safeguards.

PROFESSIONAL VALIDATION

Move from snapshot to qualified validation

We can validate the preliminary role and risk route, review the available evidence and prepare a tailored quotation for any work that may be appropriate after professional analysis.

[Request validation and quotation](#)

See all your answers to the questionnaire

system-name	DraftPilot
use-case	We use a general-purpose AI assistant internally to draft emails and summarise meeting notes for our five-person team. We did not build or modify the underlying model ourselves.
eu-scope	yes
organisation-activity	use-third-party
role-name-check	another
role-purpose-check	mainly-supplier
people-impact	no
sensitive-area	none
decision-influence	limited-support
system-capabilities	synthetic-content
ai-interaction-notice	not-sure
synthetic-authenticity	no
safety-function	no
prohibited-signals	none
literacy	yes
responsibility	yes

risk-review	partly
transparency-readiness	yes
human-oversight	yes
documentation	partly
monitoring	no

Important limitation

This report is an indicative snapshot based exclusively on the answers and information supplied by the user. It does not constitute legal advice, a formal AI Act classification, a conformity assessment, certification or confirmation of compliance. The result may contain errors and should be validated by qualified professionals before it is used for legal, compliance or operational decisions.

The readiness percentage describes the reported implementation status of the controls selected by the RRCS analysis. It does not measure legal certainty and does not guarantee compliance.